Briefing on Land Grant-Merced History and Current Legislation New Mexico Land Grant Council – July 2018

Issue: Spanish and Mexican Land Grant-Merced communities in the Southwest have, for more than a century, suffered social and economic hardships as a direct result of the failure of the United States to adequately and justly adjudicate communal land claims required under the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo.

History: From the late 1600s through the 1820s, the Spanish crown granted more than one hundred land grants to communities and individuals to promote the settlement of their northern frontier, the modern day southwest (including New Mexico). These grants included both private tracts that belonged to the grant's settlers and large areas of communal lands that belonged to the community at large. This included pasturelands for grazing of livestock and forested lands to extract fuelwood, building materials and harvest other natural resources vital to the survival of these communities. Upon independence in 1821, the Mexican Republic continued the practice, granting dozens more land grants until the Mexican American War broke out in 1846. In 1848 the United States and Mexico signed the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo to end the Mexican American War. The Treaty transferred more than half of Mexico's territory to the United States. This change in sovereignty affected approximately 80,000 Mexican citizens, including approximately 60,000 in the New Mexico Territory. Provisions for the protection of property titles recognized by Mexico, including Spanish and Mexican land grants-mercedes, were included in the Treaty and affirmed by the Protocol of Querétaro. Under the Treaty, the United States was obligated to establish a process for adjudicating/recognizing land titles in the newly ceded territory.

The adjudication of land claims in the New Mexico Territory spanned 50 years, from 1854 to 1904, and was subject to two different processes. The first process was administered by the Office of the Surveyor General of New Mexico from 1854 to 1891 and the second process by the Court of Private Land Claims from 1891 to 1904. Neither process achieved positive results for the majority of the land grant-mercedes in New Mexico. The Surveyor General process was rot with corruption and collusion between federal officials and land speculators. The Court of Private Land Claims was inherently adversarial to land grant-merced claims. When established, the Court's enabling act called for both a narrow interpretation of Spanish and Mexican law and for the appointment of a U.S. Attorney tasked with extinguishing title to as many Spanish and Mexican land claims as possible. As a direct result of the adjudication process land grant-merced communities in New Mexico lost millions of acres of communal lands that were critical to sustaining their agrarian way of life.

For those land grants-mercedes whose claims were confirmed by the United States, a great number of them suffered the loss of their common land almost immediately. This was due to several factors. First, some confirmations resulted in the United States significantly reducing of the amount of lands that were originally granted by Spain of Mexico. This was due to erroneous surveys, mistranslation of boundaries from original documents written in Spanish, and misinterpretations of Spanish and Mexican laws and customs for granting lands for community settlement. Second, as a result of the direct actions of corrupt government officials and unscrupulous attorneys, many land grants were incorrectly confirmed to either the wrong party (i.e. an individual or third party such as a land and cattle company) or as a tenancy-in-common (a legal property concept that did not exist under Spanish and Mexican law), which allowed for partition suits that forced the sale of the common lands. Third, land grants-mercedes that gained confirmation of even a portion of their lands were susceptible to delinquent tax seizures by state and county governments and sometimes resorted to selling off lands to pay inflated tax assessments. Finally, land grants-mercedes were vulnerable to encroachments and adverse possession, resulting in additional post-confirmation losses.

Decades after the end of the adjudication process, the federal domain continued to grow via land grants. The U.S. Forest Service established forest reserves on former land grant-merced common lands and by the 1920s acquired many of these lands from the same speculators and attorneys that stole these lands from land grants-mercedes during adjudication. When New Deal programs came in the 1930s, field workers found communities starved from the lack of access to resources surrounding their communities. Numerous federal agencies purchased land grants and instituted relief programs that partially restored access to former common lands. As the New Deal ended, relief programs were cut and land grant-merced lands were transferred to the U.S. Forest Service, which gradually reduced stock grazing, wood cutting, and other uses, reneging on the intent of federal purchases and creating the seedbed for radicalism.

The result was a period of militant land grant activism that spanned from the 1960s to the 1970s. Since the 1990s, land grants-mercedes began a new period of grassroots organizing that resulted in the creation of Land Grant Interim Committee of the New Mexico State Legislature and the Treaty Division in the New Mexico Attorney General's office in 2003, recognition as political subdivisions in 2004, the organization of the grassroots New Mexico Land Grant Consejo in 2006, and the creation of the New Mexico Land Grant Council, a state agency, in 2009.

Since 2006 land grant communities and advocates through the support of the New Mexico Land Grant Consejo and later the New Mexico Land Grant Council have been working to develop federal policies aimed at preserving socio-economic and cultural integrity of land grant-merced communities in New Mexico. This work has included: partnering with federal land management agencies on mutually beneficial projects to improve watershed health and reduce the risk of catastrophic wildfire; engaging federal management agencies on the development of land management plans such as the Forest Plan Revisions for the Cibola, Santa Fe, and Carson National Forests and the BLM's Rio Grande del Norte National Monument Management Plan to ensure that land grant-merced interests are being properly represented in those documents; working with the New Mexico Congressional Delegation to develop legislation that will address longstanding injustices, protect land grant-merced cultural practices and provide resources and opportunities for advancing land grant-merced communities. This work has included the introduction of legislation by Senator Tom Udall and Congresswomen Michelle Lujan Grisham to amend the Farm Bill in order to make land grants-mercedes eligible for Conversation Program funding and most recently the introduction of H.R. 6365 by Congressman Steve Pearce and H.R. 6487 by Congressman Ben Ray Lujan.

Background on H.R. 6365 – Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo Land Claims Act of 2018 – This Act aims to create a presidentially appointed commission to evaluate longstanding injustice claims stemming from the incomplete application of the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, including the social and economic impacts that the failed land adjudication process had on land grant-merced communities in the Southwest. Under the Act the Commission would hold hearings to provide land grant-merced governing boards an opportunity to give testimony and submit supporting documentation relating to land claims and use rights claims on former land grant-merced communal (common) lands now under the management of the federal government. Upon completion of its hearings the Commission would be required to issue a report to Congress on its findings, including recommendations for restitution to land grant-merced communities. Restitution recommendations could include: Land returns, stewardship rights, and priority access and use rights on former common lands now managed by the federal government. The bill is not intended to impact any privately held lands located within the historical boundaries of a land grant-merced.

^{115TH CONGRESS} 2D SESSION H.R.6365

AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION

GPO

To establish the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo Land Grant-Merced Claims Commission and other Federal policies for the restoration of land for hardships resulting from the incomplete and inequitable implementation of the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, to affirm Land Grant-Merced property rights protected by the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JULY 13, 2018

Mr. PEARCE introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Natural Resources

A BILL

- To establish the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo Land Grant-Merced Claims Commission and other Federal policies for the restoration of land for hardships resulting from the incomplete and inequitable implementation of the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, to affirm Land Grant-Merced property rights protected by the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

- 2 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the
- 3 "Treaty of Guadalupe-Hidalgo Land Claims Act of 2018".
- 4 (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents of
- 5 this Act is as follows:
 - Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
 - Sec. 2. Findings.
 - Sec. 3. Definitions.
 - Sec. 4. Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo Land Grant-Merced Claims Commission.
 - Sec. 5. Hearings on qualifying petitions.
 - Sec. 6. Reports.
 - Sec. 7. Federal land disposal authority.
 - Sec. 8. Authorization of appropriation.

6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

- 7 Congress finds the following:
- 8 The Western and Southwestern United (1)9 States have a unique history regarding land acquisi-10 tion and ownership consequent to the substantial 11 number of Spanish and Mexican land grants-mer-12 cedes. These land grants-mercedes were an integral 13 part of the colonization and growth of the region be-14 fore the United States acquisition under the Treaty 15 of Guadalupe Hidalgo.
- (2) Under the laws of Spain and Mexico, land
 grant-mercedes included thousands of acres of land
 that was owned and used by the communities, within
 the land grant-merced boundaries, in common. This
 included guaranteed right to the use of water, forest,
 pasture, minerals and other natural resources located on the common lands.

1	(3) The Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo as af-
2	firmed by the Protocol of Querétaro protects prop-
3	erty rights of land grants-mercedes in the territory
4	ceded by Mexico to the United States of America.
5	(4) Property rights include land, land title,
6	water rights, natural resource rights, mineral rights,
7	and rights to physical access.
8	(5) Congress has enacted several major public
9	land laws subject to valid existing rights including
10	the Organic Administration Act of 1897 (16 U.S.C.
11	473 et seq.), the Taylor Grazing Act (43 U.S.C. 315
12	et seq.), the Multiple Use and Sustained Yield Act
13	of 1960 (16 U.S.C. 528 et seq.), and the Federal
14	Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43
15	U.S.C. 1701 et seq.).
16	(6) Various provisions of the Treaty of Guada-
17	lupe Hidalgo have not yet been fully implemented in
18	the spirit of the United States Constitution, article
19	VI, section 2.
20	(7) Serious questions remain regarding the
21	rightful ownership of lands in several western and
22	southwestern States. Certain public lands are the
23	focus of such questions.

1	(8) The Gadsden Purchase incorporated by ref-
2	erence the property protection provisions of the
3	Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo.
4	(9) Land claim commissions, appointed by Con-
5	gress, have successfully examined disputed land pos-
6	session claims.
7	(10) The United States Government has recog-
8	nized and upheld usufruct rights for other indige-
9	nous groups.
10	(11) Between 1968 and 1981, the Forest Serv-
11	ice recognized the uniqueness of the land tenure his-
12	tory in New Mexico and instituted what became
13	known as the Northern New Mexico Policy for the
14	Southwest Region to address the socioeconomic and
15	cultural needs of the forest-dependent land grant-
16	merced communities in New Mexico.
17	(12) The United States General Accounting Of-
18	fice Report to Congressional Requesters, dated June
19	2004, numbered GAO-04-59, and entitled the
20	"Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo: Findings and Pos-
21	sible Options Regarding Longstanding Community
22	Land Grant Claims in New Mexico'', found the New
23	Mexico land claims confirmation process was ineffi-
24	cient and caused hardships to claimants. Such re-
25	port provided the following options for congressional

1	consideration in addressing land grant-merced
2	claims:
3	(A) Consider establishing a commission or
4	other body to reexamine specific Land Grant-
5	Merced claims that were rejected or not con-
6	firmed for the full acreage claimed.
7	(B) Consider transferring Federal land to
8	communities that did not receive all of the acre-
9	age originally claimed for their community land
10	grants.
11	(C) Consider making financial payments to
12	claimants' heirs or other entities for the non-
13	use of land originally claimed but not awarded.
14	(13) The General Accounting Office also noted
15	that "Congress may disagree with the U.S. Supreme
16	Court's Sandoval 1897 decision and decide that it
17	should be 'legislatively overruled', by addressing the
18	affected land grants in some way or taking other ac-
19	tion".
20	(14) The State of New Mexico's response to
21	such report, dated August 14, 2008, and entitled
22	"Report to the New Mexico Attorney General—A
23	Response to the GAO's 2004 Report 'Treaty of Gua-
24	dalupe Hidalgo: Findings and Possible Options Re-

3

4

5

6

1	garding	Longstanding	Community	Land	Grant
2	Claims in	New Mexico'",	found the fol	lowing:	

(A) The Federal Government had a duty to correctly confirm land grants-mercedes in New Mexico and that duty was understated by the analysis of the General Accounting Office.

7 (B) Most land grants-mercedes were not 8 confirmed by the Federal Government in the 9 correct type of land ownership pattern, as 10 granted by Spain or Mexico to be held in com-11 mon by the entire community, but rather the 12 vast majority were confirmed as privately owned 13 by the family of a single petitioner or as ten-14 ancy-in-common. The tenancy-in-common des-15 ignation was foreign to Spanish and Mexican 16 jurisprudence and left land grants-mercedes 17 subject to partition suits that resulted in the 18 significant loss of common land. These facts 19 were omitted by the General Accounting Office 20 report.

21 (C) Most postconfirmation land losses were 22 the direct result of the improper nature of the 23 Federal confirmation, and erroneous Federal 24 confirmations could not be remedied in the

1	court system, contrary to the analysis of the
2	General Accounting Office.
3	(D) Many land grants-mercedes or their
4	common lands were improperly rejected in their
5	entirety, others lost substantial amounts of
6	acreage by improper application of boundary
7	descriptions, and others were foreclosed from
8	being confirmed by earlier adverse rulings.
9	(E) The Federal Government in a great
10	many cases did not provide constitutionally suf-
11	ficient notice of its confirmation activities,
12	which contributed directly to many land grants-

14 (F) The Federal Government and various 15 Federal agents and officials involved in the confirmation process helped create a climate in 16 17 which land speculators were able to undermine 18 the adjudication process to dispossess land 19 grants-mercedes of their common lands.

mercedes being erroneously misconfirmed.

20 (15) Compared to their original claims, land 21 grants-mercedes suffered enormous loss of land to 22 the Federal Government and others. This loss nega-23 tively impacted the economic, environmental, and so-24 cial well-being of these communities.

7

1	(16) The following land grant-merced priority
2	rights were protected by the Treaty of Guadalupe
3	Hidalgo:
4	(A) Water rights, including all surface,
5	ground, and runoff water within the former
6	common lands that are now under the manage-
7	ment of the Federal Government.
8	(B) Natural resource rights, including
9	gathering of fuelwood, timber, vegetation, vege-
10	tation products, rocks, soils, and grazing and
11	watering of livestock that are now under the
12	management of the Federal Government.
13	(C) Mineral rights, including any and all
14	surface and subsurface minerals located within
15	the existing and former common lands as well
16	as rights to compensation for minerals ex-
17	tracted from former common lands now under
18	management of the Federal Government.
19	SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.
20	In this Act:
21	(1) ADJUDICATION PROCESS.—The term "adju-
22	dication process''—
22	

23 (A) means the processes required by treaty24 by which the United States recognized land

1	claims between 1854 and 1904 in the territories
2	ceded under—
3	(i) the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo;
4	and
5	(ii) the Gadsden Purchase; and
6	(B) includes the processes provided for in
7	the 1854 Act establishing the Office of Sur-
8	veyor-General of New Mexico (10 Stat. 308)
9	and the 1891 Act establishing the Court of Pri-
10	vate Land Claims (26 Stat. 854).
11	(2) CLAIM.—The term "claim" means the dec-
12	laration of property rights protected by the Treaty
13	of Guadalupe Hidalgo vested in a land grant-merced,
14	including—
15	(A) land, land title, mineral, and natural
16	resource claims; and
17	(B) water rights.
18	(3) CLAIMANT.—The term "claimant" means a
19	land grant-merced as represented by its governing
20	body or an agent thereof.
21	(4) GADSDEN PURCHASE.—The term "Gadsden
22	Purchase" means the Treaty of Boundary, Cession
23	of Territory, Transit of Isthmus of Tehuantepec.

1	(5) GOVERNING BODY.—The term "Governing
2	body" means the governing body, as recognized by
3	State law, of a land grant-merced.
4	(6) LAND GRANT-MERCED.—The term "land
5	grant-merced" means—
6	(A) a community land grant identified in
7	tables 1 and 2 of the General Accounting Office
8	Report $#GAO-01-951$; or
9	(B) a community, village, town, or settle-
10	ment, the land of which was granted by the
11	Government of Spain or by the Government of
12	Mexico, in accordance with the laws, usages,
13	and customs of Spain or Mexico between 1689
14	and 1854, within the boundaries of the Terri-
15	tory of New Mexico, which now includes the
16	States of New Mexico, Colorado and Arizona,
17	to—
18	(i) the community, village, town, or
19	pueblo; or
20	(ii) a person for the purpose of found-
21	ing or establishing a community, village,
22	town, or settlement.
23	(7) QUALIFYING PETITION.—The term "quali-
24	fying petition" means a petition submitted under
25	section 5.

1 (8) SECRETARY CONCERNED.—The term "Sec-2 retary concerned" means the Secretary that administers the relevant Federal land. 3 4 (9) TREATY OF GUADALUPE HIDALGO.—The term "Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo" means the 5 6 Treaty of Peace, Friendship, Limits, and Settlement 7 between the United States and the Republic of Mex-8 ico, effective February 2, 1848. 9 SEC. 4. TREATY OF GUADALUPE HIDALGO LAND GRANT-10 MERCED CLAIMS COMMISSION. 11 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is hereby established a 12 commission to be known as the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo Land Grant-Merced Claims Commission (in this Act 13 referred to as the "Commission"). 14 15 (b) DUTIES.—The duties of the Commission shall be 16 to-17 (1) conduct a hearing on each qualifying peti-18 tion and formulate a recommendation on restitution, 19 as described in section 5(c); and 20 (2) submit to Congress the reports required 21 under section 6. 22 (c) MEMBERSHIP.— 23 (1) NUMBER AND APPOINTMENT.—The Com-24 mission shall be composed of 9 members, appointed 25 by the President of the United States, of which—

1	(A) 1 member shall be appointed in con-
2	sultation with the Secretary of the Interior;
3	(B) 1 member shall be appointed in con-
4	sultation with the Secretary of Agriculture;
5	(C) 1 member shall be appointed who has
6	a background in Spanish colonial and Mexican
7	legal history as it applies to the Southwestern
8	United States;
9	(D) 1 member shall be appointed who has
10	a background in Spanish colonial, Mexican, and
11	United States history of the Southwestern
12	United States;
13	(E) 1 member shall be appointed who has
14	a background in international laws pertaining
15	to succession of States and treaties as they re-
16	late to property rights, land tenure, and usu-
17	fruct rights;
18	(F) 1 member shall be appointed who has
19	a background in past and present socioeconomic
20	conditions of the Southwestern United States;
21	(G) 1 member shall be appointed who has
22	a background in cultural geography; and
23	(H) 2 members shall be members of the
24	governing body of a land grant-merced.

(2) TERMS.—Each member shall be appointed
 for the life of the Commission.

3 (3) VACANCIES.—A vacancy in the Commission
4 shall be filled in the manner in which the original
5 appointment was made.

6 (4) RATE OF PAY.—To the extent or in the 7 amounts provided in advance in appropriation Acts, 8 Members shall each be entitled to receive daily com-9 pensation not to exceed the rate of basic pay for 10 level V of the Executive Schedule for each day, in-11 cluding travel days, during which they are engaged 12 in the performance of duties vested in the Commis-13 sion.

14 (5) PREPARATION BEFORE HEARINGS.—Before
15 the start of the first hearing under section 5, each
16 member of the Commission shall prepare for such
17 hearing by becoming familiar with the history of
18 land grant-merced claims in the United States
19 Southwest. This preparation may include—

20 (A) the purchase, by the Commission, of
21 pertinent literature on the subject for each
22 Commission member to review; and

23 (B) requests by the Commission for train-24 ing and presentations on the subject from ap-

propriate Federal or State agencies, institutions
 of higher education, and private organizations.
 (d) POWERS OF COMMISSION.—

4 (1) HEARINGS AND SESSIONS.—The Commis-5 sion shall, for the purpose of carrying out this Act, 6 hold hearings, sit, and act at times and at a location in the State where the petitioning land grant-merced 7 8 is located, take testimony, and receive evidence as 9 the Commission considers appropriate. The Commis-10 sion may administer oaths or affirmations to wit-11 nesses appearing before it.

(2) POWERS OF MEMBERS AND AGENTS.—Any
member or agent of the Commission may, if authorized by the Commission, take any action that the
Commission is authorized to take by this Act.

16 (3) GIFTS, BEQUESTS, AND DEVISES.—The
17 Commission may accept, use, and dispose of gifts,
18 bequests, or devises of services or property, both real
19 and personal, for the purpose of aiding or facili20 tating the work of the Commission.

(4) MAIL.—The Commission may use the
United States mail in the same manner and under
the same conditions as other departments and agencies of the United States.

(5) AUTHORITY TO HIRE STAFF.—The Commis sion may hire or contract staff necessary for the
 Commission to carry out its responsibilities under
 this Act.
 (6) ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT SERVICES.—
 Upon the request of the Commission, the Adminis-

trator of General Services, Secretary of the Interior,
and Secretary of Agriculture shall provide to the
Commission, on a reimbursable basis, the administrative support services necessary for the Commission to carry out its responsibilities under this Act.
(7) IMMUNITY.—The Commission is an agency

of the United States for the purposes of part V oftitle 18, United States Code.

15 (8) SUBPOENA POWER.—

16

17

18

19

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commission may issue subpoenas requiring the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of any evidence relating to any qualifying petition.

(B) FAILURE TO OBEY A SUBPOENA.—If a
person refuses to obey a subpoena issued under
subparagraph (A), the Commission may apply
to a United States district court for an order
requiring that person to appear before the Commission to give testimony, produce evidence, or

1 both, relating to any qualifying petition. The 2 application may be made within the judicial dis-3 trict where the hearing is conducted or where 4 that person is found, resides, or transacts busi-5 ness. Any failure to obey the order of the court 6 may be punished by the court as civil contempt. 7 (C) SERVICE OF SUBPOENAS.—The sub-8 poenas of the Commission shall be served in the 9 manner provided for subpoenas issued by a 10 United States district court under the Federal 11 Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States 12 district courts. 13 (D) SERVICE OF PROCESS.—All process of 14 any court to which application is made under 15 subparagraph (B) may be served in the judicial 16 district in which the person required to be 17 served resides or may be found. 18 (e) **TERMINATION.**—The Commission shall terminate 19 not later than 180 days after the Commission submits the 20 report required under section 6(b). 21 (f) Assistance for Commission.— 22 (1) Federal Agency assistance to commis-23 SION.—At the request of the Commission, relevant

24 Federal agencies shall make available personnel,

1	equipment, and facilities to assist the Commission in
2	performing its activities under this Act.
3	(2) STATE AGENCY ASSISTANCE TO COMMIS-
4	SION.—The Commission may accept assistance from
5	relevant State agencies and institutions of higher
6	education in performing its activities under this Act.
7	SEC. 5. HEARINGS ON QUALIFYING PETITIONS.
8	(a) QUALIFYING PETITION HEARING.—
9	(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall con-
10	duct a hearing on each qualifying petition, as de-
11	scribed in subsection (b), to formulate a rec-
12	ommendation on restitution to the claimant, of the
13	possible restitutions described in subsection (c).
14	(2) Designation of location.—The Commis-
15	sion shall designate one or more locations in the
16	claimant's State in which to hold such hearing.
17	(3) Right to testify.—All persons having an
18	interest in the land involved in a qualifying petition
19	shall have the right, upon notice, to be present and
20	testify before the Commission during such hearing.
21	(4) HEARING PROCESS.—As part of such hear-
22	ing, the Commission shall—
23	(A) review each qualifying petition and re-
24	ceive testimony in order examine—

	10
1	(i) the impact to the land grant-
2	merced and its associated communities re-
3	sulting from the failure of the United
4	States to properly recognize, during the
5	adjudication process, a land grant-merced
6	boundary, as it existed in 1854;
7	(ii) the impact to the land grant-
8	merced and its associated communities re-
9	sulting from the failure of the United
10	States to act on a land grant-merced claim
11	made during the adjudication process;
12	(iii) the impact to the land grant-
13	merced and its associated communities re-
14	sulting from the rejection of a land grant-
15	merced claim made during the adjudication
16	process;
17	(iv) the impact to the land grant-
18	merced and its associated communities re-
19	sulting from the incorrect confirmation by
20	the United States of a Land Grant-Merced
21	as a tenancy-in-common;
22	(v) the impact to the land grant-
23	merced and its associated communities re-
24	sulting from the incorrect confirmation by
25	the United States of the Land Grant-

1	Merced as a private land grant to an indi-
2	vidual;
3	(vi) the impact to the land grant-
4	merced and its associated communities re-
5	sulting from the United States incorrectly
6	issuing a patent for the Land Grant-
7	Merced to the wrong party;
8	(vii) the impact of prior adjudication
9	decisions made by the United States on the
10	submittal of subsequent land claim peti-
11	tions with respect to the land grant-
12	merced;
13	(viii) the impact to the land grant-
14	merced and its associated communities re-
15	sulting from the failure of the United
16	States to provide adequate due process to
17	land grant-merced during the adjudication
18	process;
19	(ix) the impact to the land grant-
20	merced and its associated communities re-
21	sulting from the failure of the United
22	States to provide adequate representation
22	
23	during the adjudication process, as re-

1	lation located on the land grant-merced;
2	and
3	(x) the impact to the land grant-
4	merced and its associated communities re-
5	sulting from the misconduct or direct con-
6	flict of interest of United States officials
7	during the adjudication process;
8	(B) review existing Federal land use poli-
9	cies governing land identified in the qualifying
10	petition;
11	(C) identify and report all private and pub-
12	lic leases on land identified in the qualifying pe-
13	tition, including lease type, term, and owner;
14	(D) determine the value of revenues gen-
15	erated and resources removed from land identi-
16	fied in the qualifying petition, through sale,
17	lease, permit, and all other means granted to
18	any person not associated with the claimant,
19	during the period it was taken out of control of
20	the claimant until the time of such hearing; and
21	(E) review and examine existing laws,
22	memorandums of understanding, agreements,
23	and easements relating to the management and
24	use of land identified in the qualifying petition.

1 STANDARDS.—When evaluating (5)LEGAL 2 qualifying petitions, the Commission shall apply 3 international treaty law and laws pertaining to the 4 succession of States. The Commission shall also 5 evaluate qualifying petitions based on Spanish and 6 Mexican civil and customary law, principles of eq-7 uity, and customs and usages in effect in what is 8 now the Southwestern United States, from 1692 up 9 to the ratification of the Gadsden Purchase in 1854. 10 (b) ELEMENTS OF QUALIFYING PETITION.—For purposes of this Act, a qualifying petition is one that— 11 12 (1) is received by the Commission not later 13 than the date that is 5 years after the date of the 14 enactment of this Act; 15 (2) is made pursuant to an official resolution 16 adopted by the claimant; and 17 (3) includes the following information: 18 (A) The name and address of the claimant 19 and a name, address, telephone number, and if 20 available, email address of the point of contact 21 for the claimant. 22 (B) Documentation showing the claimed 23 boundaries of the relevant land grant-merced, 24 including a legal survey or, if a survey is not

1	readily available, a sketch map or geographic
2	information system rendering thereof.
3	(C) A summary of the claims being made
4	and the requested restitution for each claim.
5	(c) Possible Restitutions.—The Commission
6	may, under subsection (a), recommend as restitution that
7	the Secretary concerned—
8	(1) convey Federal land to the claimant;
9	(2) grant the claimant stewardship rights to all
10	or part of Federal land;
11	(3) grant the claimant priority access and use
12	rights to all or part of Federal lands for—
13	(A) harvesting of natural resources, such
14	as fuelwood, timber, minerals, rock, soils, vege-
15	tation, and vegetation products;
16	(B) grazing and watering of livestock; or
17	(C) hunting and fishing;
18	(4) grant the claimant priority rights to leases,
19	special use permits, and easements on Federal land,
20	which may include placement of land grant-merced
21	infrastructure and community cemeteries;
22	(5) grant the claimant priority rights to acquire
23	Federal lands that may become available for dis-
24	posal; and

(6) grant the claimant priority rights to obtain
 new, unused, or unrenewed grazing allotments on
 Federal lands.

4 (d) PROTECTION OF NON-FEDERAL PROPERTY.—
5 The Commission may not make any recommendation that
6 affects the ownership, title, or rights of owners of any non7 Federal lands covered by the qualifying petition.

8 (e) PROTECTION OF EXISTING LEASES.—The Com-9 mission may not make any recommendation that affects 10 any lease, permit, or right-of-way held by a person on such 11 land as such lease, permit, or right-of-way existed on the 12 day before the date of the transfer.

13 SEC. 6. REPORTS.

(a) INDIVIDUAL REPORTS.—Not later than 90 days
after the date that the Commission concludes a hearing
under section 5 for a qualifying petition, the Commission
shall submit a report to Secretary concerned and the
claimant that includes the Commissions recommendations
and findings with respect to that petition.

(b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 10 years
after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commission shall submit a report to Congress that details, with
respect to each qualifying petition—

24 (1) a summary of the claims in such qualifying25 petition;

(2) the Commission's recommended restitution
 with respect to each claim and reasons thereof; and
 (3) the Secretary that administers the land
 identified in the qualifying petition.

5 SEC. 7. FEDERAL LAND DISPOSAL AUTHORITY.

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary concerned may
7 transfer land to the claimant or grant the claimant any
8 rights as is recommended by the Commission in the report
9 required to be issued under section 6(a).

(b) COST.—The Secretary concerned shall pay any
costs associated with a land transfer under subsection (a).

12 SEC. 8. PROTECTION OF ACEQUIAS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The rights of any acequia located
on Federal land on the date of the enactment of this Act
shall not be impaired as a result of the enactment of this
Act, including the right to use of water by valid water
right owners and access to the acequia for necessary maintenance and improvements to the acequia easement and
infrastructure.

(b) MANAGEMENT OF ACEQUIAS.—Each acequia located on Federal land on the date of the enactment of
this Act shall be managed and controlled by the governing
body of such acequia in accordance with N.M. Stat. §73–
24 2–12 or C.R.S. §7–42–101.5

(c) DEFINITION.—In this section the term "acequia"
 means a waterway recognized as an acequia or a commu nity ditch under New Mexico State law, including the di versions, storage facilities, and easements of such water way.

6 SEC. 9. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATION.

7 There is authorized \$1,000,000 for each of the fiscal
8 years 2019 to 2028 for the purpose of carrying out the
9 activities of the Commission.